

Timisoara, 25-26 May

GROWTH AND YIELD OF CUCUMBER (*CUCUMIS SATIVUS* L.) AS INFLUENCED BY TRELLISING AND BIOCHAR IN THE NIGERIAN GUINEA SAVANNA

MUHAMMAD F. M., A. AHMED M. A. MOHAMMED A. A. SADIQ, I. LAŢO, A. LAŢO Institute for Agricultural Research Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timișoara, Romania

Abstract: A field trial was conducted during the wet season of 2020 at the research farm of the Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; located at Samaru in the Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Treatments consisted of trellising; trellised and non-trellised and fertilization; control (0 t ha⁻¹), Biochar (2 t ha⁻¹) and NPK Fertilizer (100:50:50 ha⁻¹). The treatments were factorially combined and laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The plots were marked out into 3 x 4 m in size with a spacing of 1.5 m and 2 m between plots and replicates respectively. Stand count, weed dry weight and leaf Area Index were not affected by trellising and fertilization at both sampling periods. Application of NPK at 100:50:50 kg ha⁻¹ and biochar at 2 t ha⁻¹ showed significant increase in number of branches and vine length with better results when influenced by trellising than control and non-trellised plants. There was no significant difference in the number of days to 50% flowering in cucumber with control showing the lowest days. Furthermore, Application of NPK at 100:50:50 kg ha⁻¹ and biochar at 2 t ha⁻¹ demonstrated significantly higher number of fruits, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight and fruit yield with the best results as influenced by trellising. Data was collected on weed count, weed dry weight, vine length, number of leaves of plant, number of flowers, number of days to 50% flowering, plant length and plant weight. Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), and means separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test.

• Introduction

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is a member of the cucurbitaceae family comprised of 95 genera and 965 species (Christenhusz *et al*, 2016). Cucumber originated from India and became popular throughout the Egyptian and the Greek Roman Empire (Renner *et al*, 2007). It is botanically classified as pepo; it is a creeping vine which bears spiral tendrils and large leaves that form a canopy over the fruits. Biochar is a carbon-rich organic waste product obtained by heating biomass (pyrolysis) in a closed system under limited or no supply of oxygen.

Material and method

Field trial was conducted during wet season of 2020 at the Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; situated at Samaru (11° 10′ 35′′ N, 7° 36′ 40′′ E, 696.1 m above sea level) in the Northern Guinea Savanna. Soil samples were randomly collected prior to sowing using soil auger of 9cm diameter and were taken at a depth of 0-30 cm across the experimental site.

The treatments were factorially combined in randomized complete block design (RCBD) and replicated three times. Border spaces of 1.5 m between the plots and 2 m between replicates were marked out into plots. The treatments consist of one rate of biochar at 2 t ha⁻¹ combined with half recommended rate of fertilizer, Full recommended rate of fertilizer at 100kg N, 50kg P₂O₅ and 50kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and a control trellised and non-trellised crops assessed. Two weeks before sowing, biochar at the rate of 2.0 t ha-1 was incorporated on treatment basis by split opening the crest of the ridge to about 15cm depth and then covered with the soil after incorporation.

Inorganic fertilizer was applied through band application method at the recommended rate of 100kg N, 50kg P2O5 and 50kg K2O ha-1 while plots with biochar was applied with half the recommended rate. First dose (basal) application was carried out at 10 days after sowing using compound fertilizer (NPK 15:15:15) to supply 50, 50 and 50kg of N, P2O5, and K2O respectively. The remaining 50 kg N ha-1 (second dose) applied in the form of urea (46% N) at 3 weeks after the basal application. Hoe weeding was carried out at 4 and 6 weeks after sowing. Incidence of pest and disease was closely monitored and control measures was taken by spraying Imidaclorpid at 5.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ and lamdacyahalothrin at 25g a.i ha⁻¹ first week after sowing. Fungicides such as ridomil gold at 1.2 kg a.i ha⁻¹ and maneb at 2.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ as soon as fusarium wilt was observed on the cucumber plants.

Results and discussions

Soil Characteristics		Values		
Particle size distribution (g kg ⁻¹)				
Clay		120		
Silt		420		
Sand		480		
Textural Class		Loam		
Chemical Composition				
pH (1:2.5) in water		5.88		
pH (1:2.5) in 0.01MCaCl2		24.96		
Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)		15.61		
Total Nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹)		1.81		
Available Phosphorus (mg kg ⁻¹)		9.58		
Exchangeable Bases (cmol kg ⁻¹)				
Calcium (cmol kg ⁻¹)		2.85		
Magnesium (M)		0.51		
Potassium (P)		0.18		
Sodium (Na)		0.21		
Aluminium Hydrogen (Al ⁻ H)		0.22 3.97		
Cation Exchange Capacity (C.E.C)				
Analysed at the Department of Agrono Table 2: Effects of Fertilization and Tre			of Cucumber during the 202	0 rainy soar
Table 2. Lifetts of rentilization and the				o rainy seas
	Weed cover score		Weed dry weight (g)	
	4 WAS	6 WAS	4 WAS	6 WAS
Fertilization (F)				
Control	6.00a	4.50a	45.13a	29.717a

ontrol	6.00a	4.50a	45.13a	29.717a
ochar (2 t ha ⁻¹)	5.00b	3.67b	29.20b	20.53b
PK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹)	3.83c	3.00c	20.08b	13.18c
Ξ±	0.255	0.161	3.134	1.657
ellising (T)				
ellised	5.89a	4.45a	42.41a	28.49a
on-trellised	4.00b	3.00b	20.53b	13.80b
Ξ±	0.208	0.132	2.559	1.353
teraction				
ст	NS	NS	NS	NS

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column in each treatment group are not significantly different at 5% probability leve according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT), NS= Not Significant, WAS= Weeks After Sowing and SE± = Standard Error

	Vine length(cm)		Number of br	Number of branches	
	4 WAS	6 WAS	4 WAS	6 WAS	
Fertilization (F)					
Control	45.75b	66.42c	4.42b	5.00c	
Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹)	92.46a	139.58b	6.29a	8.03b	
NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹)	112.50a	186.67a	7.75a	9.71a	
SE <u>+</u>	8.476	3.017	0.464	0.364	
Trellising (T)					
Trellised	93.72	163.11a	8.14a	10.53a	
Non-trellised	73.42	98.67b	4.17b	4.67b	
SE <u>+</u>	6.920	2.634	0.379	0.297	
Interaction					
F x T Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes					vel according
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a	tter(s) within a column in tt (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr	are not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error	
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F)	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yie ruit length	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel Fruit length 10.83c	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F)	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yie ruit length	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹)	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yie ruit length 10.83c	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c 11.03b
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹) NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹)	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b 13.50a	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel Fruit length 10.83c 17.17b	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b 497.00a	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c 11.03b 12.49a
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹) NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹) SE <u>+</u> Trellising (T)	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b 13.50a 0.350 10.33a	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	re not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel Fruit length 10.83c 17.17b	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b 497.00a	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c 11.03b 12.49a
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Fable 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹) NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹) SE <u>+</u> Frellising (T) Frellised	tter(s) within a column in and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b 13.50a 0.350 10.33a 8.33b	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	are not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel ruit length 10.83c 17.17b 19.50a 1.350	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b 497.00a 8.510 372.67 362.11	2020 rainy se Fruit Yield 3.63c 11.03b 12.49a 0.209 9.17 8.94
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹) NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹) SE <u>+</u> Frellising (T) Frellised Non-trellised	tter(s) within a column in at (DMRT), NS= Not Signifi and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b 13.50a 0.350 10.33a	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	are not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel Fruit length 10.83c 17.17b 19.50a 0.350	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b 497.00a 8.510 372.67	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c 11.03b 12.49a 0.209 9.17
Means followed by the same le to Duncan's Multiple Range Tes Table 4: Effects of Fertilization a Fertilization (F) Control Biochar (2 t ha ⁻¹) NPK (100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹) SE <u>+</u>	tter(s) within a column in and Trellising on Number Number of 1 4.17c 10.33b 13.50a 0.350 10.33a 8.33b	each treatment group a cant, WAS= Weeks Afte of fruits, Fruit length, Fr fruits	are not significantly diffe r Sowing and SE± = Stan uit weight and Fruit Yiel ruit length 10.83c 17.17b 19.50a 1.350	erent at 5% probability lev dard Error d of Cucumber during the Fruit weight 163.50c 446.17b 497.00a 8.510 372.67 362.11	2020 rainy se Fruit Yiel 3.63c 11.03b 12.49a 0.209 9.17 8.94

• **Conclusions.** In conclusion, trellising produced healthier fruits and higher yields than non-trellised. NPK application at 100:50:50 kg/ha gave the highest yields and biochar produced similar yields to NPK which can perform better with increasing rate. Biochar poses as an organic sustainable agricultural product that can revive and conserve the soil while also acting as a fertilizer but does little on weed suppression. Trellising is also a profitable cultural practice that can ensure healthy fruits and high yields.

Acknowledgement: I wish to acknowledge the Institute for Agricultural Research and the university ABU Zaria, for providing the required facilities and enabling environment in conducting the trials.

